arXiv:1211.3948v1 [math.CO] 16 Nov 2012

SUBSETS OF PRODUCTS OF FINITE SETS OF POSITIVE UPPER DENSITY

STEVO TODORCEVIC AND KONSTANTINOS TYROS

Abstract. In this note we prove that for every sequence $(m_q)_q$ of positive integers and for every real $0 < \delta \leqslant 1$ there is a sequence $(n_q)_q$ of positive integers such that for every sequence $(H_q)_q$ of finite sets such that $|H_q| = n_q$ for every $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $D \subseteq \bigcup_k \prod_{q=0}^{k-1} H_q$ with the property that

$$\limsup_k \frac{|D \cap \prod_{q=0}^{k-1} H_q|}{|\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} H_q|} \geqslant \delta$$

there is a sequence $(J_q)_q$, where $J_q \subseteq H_q$ and $|J_q| = m_q$ for all q, such that $\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} J_q \subseteq D$ for infinitely many k. This gives us a density version of a well-known Ramsey-theoretic result. We also give some estimates on the sequence $(n_q)_q$ in terms of the sequence of $(m_q)_q$.

1. Introduction

It is well known that many Ramsey-theoretic results admit density versions. For example, Szemeredi's theorem [Sz] which is just a density version of a much older and as famous Ramsey-theoretic result of van der Wearden [vW]. Density versions of Ramsey-theoretic results tend to be considerably harder to prove. A typical such example is the density version of the Hales-Jewett theorem [HJ] due to Furstenberg and Katznelson [FK] (see also [Po]). All these examples belong to finite Ramsey theory in the sense of [GRS]. However, we have recently seen that infinite Ramsey-theoretic results sometimes do admit density version in spite of the well-known fact that the original infinite Ramsey theorem itself ([Ra]) does not have a density version (see, for example, [EG]). Recently, solving an old problem of Laver, Dodos, Kanellopoulos and Karagiannis [DKK], have proved a density version of the famous Halpern-Läuchli theorem [HL]. This result has in turn triggered investigations of density versions of the finite form of the Halpern-Läuchli theorem (see [DKT]). In this note we prove a density version of another infinite Ramsey-theoretic result, a Ramsey type result about products of finite sets (see [DLT], [To], Chapter 3) stating that for every infinite sequence $(m_q)_q$ of positive integers there is a sequence $(n_q)_q$ of positive integers such that for every sequence $(H_q)_q$ of finite sets such that $|H_q| = n_q$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every coloring $c : \bigcup_k \prod_{q=0}^{k-1} H_q \to \{0, 1\}$ there exist $J_q \subseteq H_q$ such that $|J_q| = m_q$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and such that c is constant on $\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} J_q$

Key words: Density, Ramsey theory, finite sets.

The first named author is supported by grants from NSERC and CNRS.

for infinitely many k. More precisely, in this paper we prove the following density result, where by $\mathbb{N}$ we denote the set of the natural numbers starting by 0 and by $\mathbb{N}_+$ we denote the set of the positive natural numbers.

Theorem 1. *Let δ be a real number with $0 < \delta \leqslant 1$. Then there exists a map $V_\delta : \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. For every sequence $(m_q)_q$ of positive integers, infinite sequence $(H_q)_q$ of finite sets, L infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and sequence $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ such that*

- (a) *$|H_0| \geqslant V_\delta\big((m_0), \emptyset\big)$ and $|H_q| \geqslant V_\delta\big((m_p)_{p=0}^{q}, (|H_p|)_{p=0}^{q-1}\big)$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and*
- (b) *D_k is a subset of $\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} H_q$ of density at least δ, for all $k \in L$,*

there exist a sequence of finite sets $(I_q)_q$ and an infinite subset L' of L such that

- (i) *$I_q \subseteq H_q$ and $|I_q| = m_q$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and*
- (ii) *$\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} I_q \subseteq D_k$ for all $k \in L'$.*

For the definition of the map V_δ, we will need some auxiliary maps. In the next section we define these maps and we describe their properties.

2. The definition of the map V_δ.

For every $0 < \theta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and every integer $k \geqslant 2$ we set

$$\Sigma(\theta, \varepsilon, k) = \Big\lceil \frac{k(k-1)}{2(\varepsilon^k - \theta^k)} \Big\rceil.$$

We will need the following elementary fact. Although it is well known, we could not find a reference and we include its proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2. *Let $0 < \theta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $N \geqslant \Sigma(\theta, \varepsilon, k)$. Also let $(A_i)_{i=1}^N$ be a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that $\mu(A_i) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant N$. Then there exists a subset F of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ of cardinality k such that*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{i\in F} A_i\Big) \geqslant \theta^k.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all functions $\sigma : [k] \to [N]$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{A} : \sigma \text{ is 1-1}\}$. Notice that

$$|\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{B}| \leqslant \frac{k(k-1)}{2} N^{k-1}. \tag{1}$$

By our assumptions and Jensen's inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^k N^k &\leqslant \Big(\int \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{A_i} d\mu\Big)^k \leqslant \int \Big(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{A_i}\Big)^k d\mu = \int \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{A}} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{1}_{A_{\sigma(i)}} d\mu \\ &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{A}} \mu\Big(\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_{\sigma(i)}\Big) = \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{A}\setminus\mathcal{B}} \mu\Big(\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_{\sigma(i)}\Big) + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{B}} \mu\Big(\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_{\sigma(i)}\Big) \\ &\overset{(1)}{\leqslant} \frac{k(k-1)}{2} N^{k-1} + \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{B}} \mu\Big(\bigcap_{i=1}^k A_{\sigma(i)}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Since $N \geqslant \Sigma(\theta, \varepsilon, k)$ we get

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} \mu\Big(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} A_{\sigma(i)}\Big) \geqslant \frac{1}{N^k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}} \mu\Big(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} A_{\sigma(i)}\Big) \overset{(2)}{\geqslant} \varepsilon^k - \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \geqslant \theta^k$$

and the proof is completed. □

For every $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ we define the map $T_\varepsilon : \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For every $(m_q)_{q=0}^k$ in $\mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}}$ we set

$$T_\varepsilon\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) = \frac{2}{\varepsilon'} \Sigma(\varepsilon'/4, \varepsilon'/2, m_k), \tag{3}$$

where $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon$ if $k = 0$ and $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon^{\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} m_q} 2^{-2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \prod_{q=j}^{k-1} m_q}$ otherwise. The crucial property characterizing the map T_ε is given by the following lemma. For its proof we will need the following notation.

Notation 1. Let $k_0 < k_1 < k_2$ be nonnegative integers and $(H_q)_q$ be a sequence of nonempty finite sets. Also let $x \in \prod_{q=k_0}^{k_1-1} H_q$ and $y \in \prod_{q=k_1}^{k_2-1} H_q$. By $x^\frown y$ we denote the concatenation of the sequences x, y, i.e. the sequence $z \in \prod_{q=k_0}^{k_2-1} H(q)$ satisfying $z(q) = x(q)$ for all $q = k_0, \dots, k_1 - 1$ and $z(q) = y(q)$ for all $q = k_1, \dots, k_2 - 1$. Moreover, for $A \subseteq \prod_{q=k_0}^{k_1-1} H_q$ and $B \subseteq \prod_{q=k_1}^{k_2-1} H_q$ we set

$$x^\frown B = \{x^\frown y : y \in B\}$$

and

$$A^\frown B = \bigcup_{x \in A} x^\frown B.$$

Lemma 3. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, ε be a real with $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and $m_0, \dots, m_k$ be positive integers. Also let $(H_q)_{q=0}^k$ be a finite sequence of nonempty finite sets, satisfying $|H_q| \geqslant T_\varepsilon\big((m_p)_{p=0}^q\big)$ for all $q = 0, \dots, k$ and a subset D of $\prod_{q=0}^k H_q$ of density at least ε. Then there exists a sequence $(I_q)_{q=0}^k$ such that*

(i) *$I_q \subseteq H_q$ and $|I_q| = m_q$ for all $q = 0, \dots, k$ and*
(ii) *$\prod_{q=0}^k I_q \subseteq D$.*

Proof. If $k = 0$ then the result is immediate. Indeed, in this case we have that

$$|D| \geqslant \varepsilon \cdot |H_0| \geqslant \varepsilon \cdot T_\varepsilon\big((m_0)\big) \geqslant m_0.$$

Suppose that $k \geqslant 1$. Let $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_{q+1} = (\varepsilon_q/4)^{m_q}$, for all $q = 0, \dots, k-1$. It is easy to check that

$$\varepsilon_q = \varepsilon^{\prod_{p=0}^{q-1} m_p} 2^{-2 \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \prod_{p=j}^{q-1} m_p}, \text{ for all } q = 1, \dots, k.$$

We inductively construct sequences $(I_q)_{q=0}^{k-1}$ and $(D_q)_{q=0}^k$ such that for every $q = 0, \dots, k-1$ we have

(a) $I_q \subset H_q$ and $|I_q| = m_q$,
(b) $D_q \subset \prod_{p=q}^k H_q$ of density at least ε_q and
(c) $I_q^\frown D_{q+1} \subseteq D_q$.

We set $D_0 = D$ and assume that for some $q \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$, $(D_p)_{p=0}^q$ and if $q \geqslant 1$ also $(I_p)_{p=0}^{q-1}$ have been constructed satisfying (a),(b) and (c) above. For every $x \in H_q$, we set

$$D'_x = \{y \in \prod_{p=q+1}^{k} H_p : (x)^\frown y \in D_q\}.$$

Clearly the average of the densities of D'_x as x runs in H_q is equal to the density of D_q and therefore, by the inductive assumption (b) above, at least ε_q. By a Fubini type argument, we have that the set

$$B = \{x \in H_q : \ D'_x \text{ is of density at least } \varepsilon_q/2\}$$

is of density at least $\varepsilon_q/2$ in H_q. Thus

$$|B| \geqslant (\varepsilon_q/2)\, |H_q| \geqslant (\varepsilon_q/2)\, T_\varepsilon\big((m_p)_{p=0}^q\big) = \Sigma(\varepsilon_q/4, \varepsilon_q/2, m_q).$$

By Lemma 2 there exists $I_q \subseteq B \subseteq H_q$ of cardinality m_q such that $D_{q+1} = \cap_{x \in I_q} D'_x$ is of density at least e_{q+1}. The inductive step of the construction is complete.

Using property (c) above it is easy to see that $I_1^\frown \dots ^\frown I_{k-1}\, ^\frown D_k \subseteq D$, where D_k is subset of H_k of density at least ε_k. Thus

$$|D_k| \geqslant \varepsilon_k\, T_\varepsilon\big((m_p)_{p=0}^k\big) \geqslant m_k$$

and therefore we may pick $I_k \subset D_k \subset H_k$ of cardinality m_k. Clearly $(I_q)_{q=0}^k$ is the desired one and the proof is complete. □

Definition 4. *Let $(H_q)_q$ be a sequence of nonempty finite sets, L be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_+$, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. We will say that the sequence $(D_k)_{k \in L}$ is (k_0, ε)-dense in $(H_q)_q$ if for every $k > k_0$ in L we have that D_k is a subset of $\prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1} H_q$ of density at least ε, i.e.*

$$\frac{|D_k|}{|\prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1} H_q|} \geqslant \varepsilon.$$

Finally, we will say that $(D_k)_{k \in L}$ is ε-dense in $(H_q)_q$, if it is $(0, \varepsilon)$-dense in $(H_q)_q$.

The next result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.

Corollary 5. *Let $(m_q)_q$ be a sequence of positive integers, ε be a real number with $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$, k_0 be a nonnegative integer and $(H_q)_q$ be a sequence of nonempty finite sets satisfying $|H_k| \geqslant T_\varepsilon\big((m_q)_{q=k_0}^k\big)$ for all integers k with $k \geqslant k_0$. Also let L be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k \in L}$ be (k_0, ε)-dense in $(H_q)_q$. Then for every $k \in L$ with $k > k_0$ there exists a finite sequence $(I_q^k)_{q=k_0}^{k-1}$ of finite sets satisfying*

(i) *$I_q^k \subseteq H_q$ and $|I_q^k| = m_q$, for all $q = 0, \dots, k-1$ and*
(ii) *$\prod_{q=0}^{k-1} I_q^k \subseteq D_k$.*

For every reals ε, θ with $0 < \theta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and every positive integer r, we define the map $Q^r_{\theta,\varepsilon} : \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For every $(m_q)_{q=0}^k \in \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}}$, we set

$$Q^r_{\theta,\varepsilon}\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) = T_{\frac{1}{8}(\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{2^r})^2}\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big).$$

By the definition of the the map $Q^r_{\theta,\varepsilon}$, Corollary 5 has the following result as an immediate consequence.

Corollary 6. *Let $(m_q)_q$ be a sequence of positive integers, θ, ε be real numbers with $0 < \theta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$, $k_0, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \geqslant 1$ and $(H_q)_q$ be a sequence of nonempty finite sets such that $|H_k| \geqslant Q^r_{\theta,\varepsilon}\big((m_q)_{q=k_0}^k\big)$, for all $k \geqslant k_0$. Also let L be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ be $(k_0, \frac{1}{8}(\frac{\varepsilon-\theta}{2^r})^2)$-dense in $(H_q)_q$. Then for every $k \in L$ with $k > k_0$ there exists a finite sequence $(I_q^k)_{q=k_0}^{k-1}$ of finite sets satisfying*

- (i) *$I_q^k \subseteq H_q$ and $|I_q^k| = m_q$ for all $q = k_0, \dots, k-1$ and*
- (ii) *$\prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1} I_q^k \subseteq D_k$.*

Lemma 7. *Let i, r be positive integers, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_0 < i$ and θ, ε be reals with $0 < \theta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. Also let $(H_q)_q$ be a sequence of nonempty finite sets satisfying $|\prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q| \leqslant r$, L be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ be (k_0, ε)-dense in $(H_q)_q$. Then there exist $\Gamma \subset \prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q$ of density at least θ, L' infinite subset of L and $(\widetilde{D}_k)_{k\in L'}$ being $(i, (\varepsilon-\theta)/2^r)$-dense in $(H_q)_q$ such that $\Gamma^\frown \widetilde{D}_k \subseteq D_k$ for all $k \in L'$.*

Proof. By passing to a final segment of L, if it is necessary, we may assume that $\min L > i$. For every $k \in L$ we have the following. For every $y \in \prod_{q=i}^{k-1} H_q$ we set $\Gamma_y = \{x \in \prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q : x^\frown y \in D_k\}$. Observe that the average of the densities of Γ_y as y runs in $\prod_{q=i}^{k-1} H_q$ is equal to the density of D_k and therefore at least ε. By a Fubini type argument we have that the set

$$D'_k = \Big\{y \in \prod_{q=i}^{k-1} H_q : \Gamma_y \text{ is of density at least } \theta \text{ in } \prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q\Big\}$$

is of density at least $\varepsilon - \theta$ in $\prod_{q=i}^{k-1} H_q$. Since the powerset of $\prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q$ is of cardinality at most 2^r, we have that there exist $\Gamma_k \subseteq \prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q$ of density at least θ and a subset $\widetilde{D}_k$ of D'_k of density at least $(\varepsilon-\theta)/2^r$ in $\prod_{q=i}^{k-1} H_q$ such that $\Gamma_y = \Gamma_k$ for all $y \in \widetilde{D}_k$.

Passing to an infinite subset L' of L, we stabilize Γ_k into some Γ, which of course is of density at least θ and the proof is complete. □

Corollary 8. *Let $(m_q)_q$ be a sequence of positive integers, i, r be positive integers, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_0 < i$ and θ, ε be reals with $0 < \theta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. Also let $(H_q)_q$ be a sequence of nonempty finite sets such that*

- (a) *$|\prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q| \leqslant r$ and*
- (b) *$|H_k| \geqslant Q^r_{\theta,\varepsilon}\big((m_q)_{q=i}^k\big)$, for all $k \geqslant i$.*

Finally, let L *be an infinite subset of* $\mathbb{N}_+$ *and* $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ *be* (k_0,ε)*-dense in* $(H_q)_q$*. Then there exist a subset* Γ *of* $\prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q$ *of density at least* θ *and an infinite subset* L' *of* L *such that for every* $k\in L'$ *with* $k>i$ *there exists a finite sequence* $(I_q^k)_{q=i}^{k-1}$ *of finite sets satisfying*

(i) $I_q^k \subseteq H_q$ *and* $|I_q^k| = m_q$*, for all* $q=i,\dots,k-1$ *and*
(ii) $\Gamma^\frown \prod_{q=i}^{k-1} I_q^k \subseteq D_k$.

Proof. By Lemma 7, there exist $\Gamma\subset\prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q$ of density at least θ, L' infinite subset of L and $(D'_k)_{k\in L'}$ being $(i,(\varepsilon-\theta)/2^r)$-dense in $(H_q)_q$ such that

$$\Gamma^\frown D'_k \subseteq D_k \tag{4}$$

for all $k\in L'$. By Corollary 6, we have that for every $k\in L'$ there exists a finite sequence $(I_q^k)_{q=i}^{k-1}$ of finite sets satisfying

(i) $I_q^k\subseteq H_q$ and $|I_q^k| = m_q$ for all $q=k_0,\dots,k-1$ and
(ii) $\prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1} I_q^k \subseteq D'_k$.

By (ii) above and (4), we get that $\Gamma^\frown \prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1} I_q^k\subseteq D_k$ for all $k\in L'$. □

Finally, in order to define the map V_δ, we need some additional notation. For every $s\in\mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\Delta_s = \{i/2^s : 0<i\leqslant 2^s \text{ in } \mathbb{N}\},$$

$\Delta = \cup_{s\in\mathbb{N}}\Delta_s$ and for every real δ with $0<\delta\leqslant 1$, we pick $s_\delta\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{1-s_\delta}\leqslant\delta$. Let δ be a real with $0<\delta\leqslant 1$. We define the map $V_\delta : \mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}}\times\mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}}\to\mathbb{N}$ as follows. For every $m_0\in\mathbb{N}_+$ we set

$$V_\delta((m_0),\emptyset) = \max_{\varepsilon\in\Delta_{s_\delta}} T_\varepsilon\big((m_0)\big)$$

where $\emptyset$ denotes the empty sequence and for every $(m_q)_{q=0}^k, (n_q)_{q=0}^{k-1}\in\mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $k>0$ we set

$$V_\delta\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k,(n_q)_{q=0}^{k-1}\big) = \max\Big\{T_{\min\Delta_{s_\delta}}\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big), \max_{\substack{i=1,\dots,k\\ \theta<\varepsilon \text{ in } \Delta_{s_\delta+k}}} Q_{\theta,\varepsilon}^{r_i}\big((m_q)_{q=i}^k\big)\Big\},$$

where $r_i=\prod_{q=0}^{i-1} n_q$. We arbitrarily extend the map V_δ to the remaining elements of $\mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}}\times\mathbb{N}_+^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

For the rest of this section we fix a real δ with $0<\delta\leqslant 1$, sequences $(m_q)_q,(n_q)_q$ of positive integers satisfying $n_k\geqslant V_\delta\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k,(n_q)_{q=0}^{k-1}\big)$ for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and a sequence $(H_q)_q$ of finite sets with $|H_q|=n_q$ for all $q\in\mathbb{N}$.

3.1. **The inductive hypothesis.** The main part of the proof consists of showing that for every countable ordinal ξ the property $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ (see Definition 9 below) holds. In order to state the definition of the property $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ we need some additional notation. For L an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, by $[L]^{<\infty}$ we denote the set of all finite subsets of L.

Notation 2. Let i be a positive integer, $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_0 < i$, Γ be a subset of $\prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q$, L an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ such that $D_k \subseteq \prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1} H_q$ for all $k \in L$ with $k > k_0$. We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L}) = \{F \in & [L]^{<\infty} : F \neq \emptyset,\ \min F > i \text{ and there exists a sequence}\\ & (I_q)_{q=i}^{\max F-1} \text{such that } I_q \subseteq H_q \text{ and } |I_q| = m_q \text{ for all}\\ & q = i,\dots,\max F - 1 \text{ and } \Gamma^\frown \prod_{q=i}^{k-1} I_q \subseteq D_k \text{ for all } k \in F\}\end{aligned}$$

Let i, Γ and $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ as above. It is easy to see that the family $\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L})$ satisfies the following property. For every nonempty sets $A \subseteq B$ such that $B \in \mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L})$, we have $A \in \mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L})$. That is $\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L}) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is hereditary. For a hereditary family $\mathcal{F}$, the rank of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined as follows. If $\mathcal{F}$ is not compact, we define the rank of $\mathcal{F}$ to be equal to ω_1. Otherwise, for every $\sqsubseteq$-maximal element $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we set $r_{\mathcal{F}}(F) = 0$ and recursively for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we set $r_{\mathcal{F}}(F) = \sup\{r_{\mathcal{F}}(G) + 1 : G \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } F \sqsubset G\}$. The rank of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined to be equal to $r_{\mathcal{F}}(\emptyset)$. The rank of a family $\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L})$ is defined to be equal to the rank of the hereditary family $\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L}) \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Finally, for M infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and ξ countable ordinal, we will say that $\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L})$ is of hereditary rank at least ξ in M, if for every M' infinite subset of M, the hereditary family $[M']^{<\infty} \cap (\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L}) \cup \{\emptyset\})$ is of rank at least ξ.

Definition 9. *Let ξ be a countable ordinal number. We will say that $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ holds, if for every $\theta < \varepsilon$ in Δ, every $i' > k_0$ in $\mathbb{N}$, every infinite subset L of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ being (k_0,ε)-dense in $(H_q)_q$, there exist an integer i with $i \geqslant i'$, an infinite subset L' of L and some $\Gamma \subseteq \prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1} H_q$ of density at least θ such that the family $\mathcal{F}(i,\Gamma,(D_k)_{k\in L})$ is of hereditary rank at least ξ in L'.*

Corollary 10. *The property $\mathcal{P}(1)$ holds.*

Proof. Let $\theta < \varepsilon$ in Δ, $i' > k_0$ in $\mathbb{N}$, an infinite subset L of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ being (k_0,ε)-dense in $(H_q)_q$. We pick $i \geqslant i'$ such that $\theta, \varepsilon \in \Delta_{s_\delta+i}$. The result is immediate by Corollary 8. □

Notation 3. Let $0 < k_0 < c \leqslant k$ be integers and $x \in \prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1} H_q$. By $x \upharpoonright c$, we denote the initial segment of x in $\prod_{q=k_0}^{c-1} H_q$.

Lemma 11. *Assume that for some sequence of countable ordinals $(\xi_n)_n$ we have that $\mathcal{P}(\xi_n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let η in Δ, $k_0 < i'$ in $\mathbb{N}$, L be an infinite subset of*

$\mathbb{N}_+$ *and* $(\widetilde{D}_k)_{k\in L}$ *being* (k_0,η)*-dense in* $(H_q)_q$*. Then there exist an infinite subset* $L'=\{l_0<l_1<\ldots\}$ *of* L *with* $i'\leqslant l_0$*, an infinite subset* $I=\{i_0<i_1<\ldots\}$ *of* $\mathbb{N}$ *and* $(\Gamma_i)_{i\in I}$ *being* $(k_0,\eta^2/8)$*-dense in* $(H_q)_q$ *such that and for every* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *we have*

(i) $l_n<i_n<l_{n+1}$,
(ii) *for every* $x\in\Gamma_{i_n}$ *we have* $x\restriction l_n\in\widetilde{D}_{l_n}$
(iii) *the family* $\mathcal{F}(i_n,\Gamma_{i_n},(\widetilde{D}_k)_{k\in L})$ *is of hereditary rank at least* ξ_n *in* L'*.*

Proof. Using $\mathcal{P}(\xi_n)$ we inductively construct a decreasing sequence $(L_n)_n$ of infinite subsets of L and a $(k_0,\eta^2/8)$-dense sequence $(\Gamma_{i_n})_n$ in $(H_q)_q$ such that $i'\leqslant i_0$ and for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we have that

(a) $\min L_n<i_n<\min L_{n+1}$,
(b) for every $x\in\Gamma_{i_n}$ we have $x\restriction\min L_n\in\widetilde{D}_{\min L_n}$ and
(c) the family $\mathcal{F}(i_n,\Gamma_{i_n},(\widetilde{D}_k)_{k\in L})$ is of hereditary rank at least ξ_n in L_{n+1}.

First by Ramsey's Theorem and Lemma 2 we may pass to an infinite subset L_0 of L such that $\min L_0>i'$ and for every $k<k'$ in L_0 we have that the set $\{x\in\widetilde{D}_{k'}:x\restriction k\in\widetilde{D}_k\}$ is of density at least $\eta^2/4$ in $\prod_{q=k_0}^{k-1}H_q$. Suppose that for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we have constructed $(L_p)_{p=0}^{n}$ and if $n>0$, $(i_p)_{p=0}^{n-1}$ and $(\Gamma_{i_p})_{p=0}^{n-1}$. Treating i' as i_{-1} in case $n=0$, the inductive step of the construction has as follows. For every $k\in L_n$ we set

$$\widetilde{D}^n_k=\{x\in\widetilde{D}_k:x\restriction\min L_n\in\widetilde{D}_{\min L_n}\}. \tag{5}$$

Since L_n is subset of L_0, by the choice of L_0, we have that $(\widetilde{D}^n_k)_{k\in L'_n}$ is $(k_0,\eta^2/4)$-dense in $(H_q)_q$. Applying $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(\xi_n)$, we obtain some $i_n>\min L_n$, an infinite subset L_{n+1} of L_n and $\Gamma_{i_n}\subseteq\prod_{q=k_0}^{i_n-1}H_q$ of density at least $\eta^2/8$ such that the family $\mathcal{F}(i_n,\Gamma_{i_n},(\widetilde{D}^n_k)_{k\in L})$ is of hereditary rank at least ξ_n in L_{n+1}. Passing to a final segment of L_{n+1} we may assume that $\min L_{n+1}>i_n$ and the inductive step of the construction is complete. Indeed, property (a) is immediate, as well as property (c) by the observation that $\mathcal{F}(i_n,\Gamma_{i_n},(\widetilde{D}^n_k)_{k\in L})\subseteq\mathcal{F}(i_n,\Gamma_{i_n},(\widetilde{D}_k)_{k\in L})$. Concerning (b), notice that, since $\mathcal{F}(i_n,\Gamma_{i_n},(\widetilde{D}^n_k)_{k\in L})$ in non empty there exist $k\in L_{n+1}$ and y such that $x^\frown y\in\widetilde{D}^n_k$ for all $x\in\Gamma_{i_n}$. Since $\min L_n<i_n$, by (5), we have that $x\restriction\min L_n=x^\frown y\restriction\min L_n\in\widetilde{D}_{\min L_n}$.

Setting $L'=\{\min L_n:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$, it is easy to check that the proof is complete. □

Lemma 12. *Assume that for some (not necessarily strictly) increasing sequence of countable ordinals* $(\xi_n)_n$ *we have that* $\mathcal{P}(\xi_n)$ *holds for all* $n\in\mathbb{N}$*. Then* $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ *holds, where* $\xi=\sup\{\xi_n+1:n\in\mathbb{N}\}$*.*

Proof. Let $\theta<\varepsilon$ in Δ, $i'>k_0$ in $\mathbb{N}$, an infinite subset L of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k\in L}$ being (k_0,ε)-dense in $(H_q)_q$. We pick $i\geqslant i'$ such that $\theta,\varepsilon\in\Delta_{s_\delta+i}$. By Lemma 7 there exist $\Gamma\subset\prod_{q=k_0}^{i-1}H_q$ of density at least θ, L'' infinite subset of L and $(\widetilde{D}_k)_{k\in L''}$ being $(i,(\varepsilon-\theta)/2^r)$-dense in $(H_q)_q$ such that

$$\Gamma^\frown\widetilde{D}_k\subseteq D_k \tag{6}$$

for all $k \in L''$. By Lemma 11 there exist an infinite subset $L' = \{l_0 < l_1 < \ldots\}$ of L'' with $i \leqslant l_0$, an infinite subset $I = \{i_0 < i_1 < \ldots\}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ and $(\Gamma_i)_{i \in I}$ being $(i, \frac{1}{8}(\frac{\varepsilon - \theta}{2^r})^2)$-dense in $(H_q)_q$ such that and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

(i) $l_n < i_n < l_{n+1}$,
(ii) for every $x \in \Gamma_{i_n}$ we have $x \upharpoonright l_n \in \widetilde{D}_{l_n}$
(iii) the family $\mathcal{F}(i_n, \Gamma_{i_n}, (\widetilde{D}_k)_{k \in L})$ is of hereditary rank ξ_n in L'.

By the choice of $(n_q)_q$, the definition of the map V_δ and Corollary 6, we have that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite sequence $(I_q^n)_{q=i}^{i_n - 1}$ of finite sets satisfying

(a) $I_q^n \subseteq H_q$ and $|I_q^n| = m_q$ for all $q = i, \ldots, i_n - 1$ and
(b) $\prod_{q=i}^{i_n - 1} I_q^n \subseteq \Gamma_{i_n}$.

By (ii) and (b) we have that

$$\prod_{q=i}^{l_n - 1} I_q^n \subseteq \widetilde{D}_{l_n} \tag{7}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Claim: $\mathcal{F}(i, \Gamma, (D_k)_{k \in L}) \supseteq \{\{l_n\} \cup F : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } F \in \mathcal{F}(i_n, \Gamma_{i_n}, (\widetilde{D}_k)_{k \in L})\}$.

Proof of Claim. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}(i_n, \Gamma_{i_n}, (\widetilde{D}_k)_{k \in L})$. Let $(I_q)_{q=i_n}^{\max F - 1}$ the finite sequence witnessing that $F \in \mathcal{F}(i_n, \Gamma_{i_n}, (\widetilde{D}_k)_{k \in L})$. Let $(J_q)_{q=i}^{\max F - 1}$ defined by $J_q = I_q^n$ for all $q = i, \ldots, i_n - 1$ and $J_q = I_q$ for all $q = i_n, \ldots, \max F - 1$. By (7), (b) and the fact that $F \in \mathcal{F}(i_n, \Gamma_{i_n}, (\widetilde{D}_k)_{k \in L})$ we have that

$$\prod_{q=i}^{l_n - 1} J_q \subset \widetilde{D}_{l_n} \text{ and } \prod_{q=i}^{k-1} J_q \subseteq \widetilde{D}_k \text{ for all } k \in F$$

By (6) we get that $\{l_n\} \cup F \in \mathcal{F}(i, \Gamma, (D_k)_{k \in L})$. □

Lemma 12 is an immediate consequence of the claim above. □

By Corollary 10 and Lemma 12 we have the following.

Corollary 13. *For every countable ordinal ξ, the property $\mathcal{P}(\xi)$ holds.*

We are ready to complete the proof for Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let L be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}_+$ and $(D_k)_{k \in L}$ be δ-dense in $(H_q)_q$. By Corollary 13 we have that for every countable ordinal ξ there exist a positive integer i_ξ and a subset Γ_ξ of $\prod_{q=0}^{i_\xi - 1} H_q$ of density at least $\delta/2$ such that the family $\mathcal{F}(i_\xi, \Gamma_\xi, (D_k)_{k \in L})$ is of rank at least ξ. We pick $\mathcal{A}$ uncountable subset of ω_1 such that i_ξ and Γ_ξ are stabilized into some i and Γ respectively, for all $\xi \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(i, \Gamma, (D_k)_{k \in L})$. Clearly the rank of $\mathcal{F}$ is greater that or equal to ξ, for all $\xi \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is uncountable we get that the rank of $\mathcal{F}$ is ω_1. The latter and the hereditariness of the family $\mathcal{F}$ yields the existence of some infinite subset $M = \{m_0 < m_1 < \ldots\}$ of L such that for every F finite subset of M we have that $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Clearly, we may assume that $m_0 > i$. Let us denote by F_n the initial subset of M of length $n+1$, i.e. $F_n = \{m_0, \dots, m_n\}$, for every n nonnegative integer. Thus for every positive integer n, since $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$, we have that there exist $(I_q^n)_{q=i}^{m_n-1}$ such that

(1′) $I_q^n \subseteq H_q$ and $|I_q^n| = m_q$ for all $q = i, \dots, m_n - 1$ and
(2′) $\Gamma^\frown \prod_{q=i}^{m_k-1} I_q^n \subset D_{m_k}$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n$.

We pass to a subsequence $(F_{k_n})_n$ of $(F_n)_n$ such that $\big((I_q^{k_n})_{q=i}^{m_{k_n}-1}\big)_n$ pointwise converges to some $(I_q)_{q=i}^{\infty}$. It is immediate that the following are satisfied.

(1) For every integer $q \geqslant i$, $I_q \subset H_q$ and $|I_q| = m_q$.
(2) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma^\frown \prod_{q=i}^{m_{k_n}-1} I_q \subset D_{m_{k_n}}$.

Notice that $|H_q| \geqslant T_{\delta/2}\big((m_p)_{p=0}^q\big)$ for all $q = 0, \dots, i-1$. Since Γ is subset of $\prod_{q=0}^{i-1} H_q$ of density at least $\delta/2$, by Corollary 5, there exists $(I_q)_{q=0}^{i-1}$ such that $I_q \subset H_q$ and $|I_q| = m_q$ for all $q = 0, \dots, i-1$ and $\prod_{q=0}^{i-1} I_q \subset \Gamma$. It is easy to check that the sequence $(I_q)_q$ is the desired one. □

4. Some calculus on the map V_δ

In this section we provide bounds for the map V_δ in terms of the Ackermann functions. In particular, we provide bounds for the map $f : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \to \mathbb{N}$ inductively defined as follows. We set $f\big((m_0)\big) = V_\delta\big((m_0), \emptyset\big)$ for all $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $f\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) = V_\delta\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k, \big(f\big((m_p)_{p=0}^q\big)\big)_{q=0}^{k-1}\big)$ for all $(m_q)_{q=0}^k \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $k > 0$. Let us recall that the Ackermann hierarchy of functions from $\mathbb{N}$ into $\mathbb{N}$ is defined as follows.

$$A_0(0) = 1,\ A_0(1) = 2, \text{ and } A_0(x) = 2 + x \text{ for all } x \geqslant 2$$
$$A_{n+1}(x) = A_n^{(x)}(1) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $A_n^{(x)}$ denotes the xth iteration of A_n. (The 0th iteration of any function is considered to be the identity.) In particular, according to the above definition we have that $A_1(x) = 2x$ for all $x \geqslant 1$ and $A_2(x) = 2^x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. All the functions A_n are primitive recursive and more precisely A_n belongs to the class $\mathcal{E}^{n+1}$ of the Grzegorczyk hierarchy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For the rest of this section we fix a real δ and a sequence $(m_q)_q$ of integers satisfying the following.

(a) $0 < \delta \leqslant 1/2$ and
(b) $m_q \geqslant 2$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

For every $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \delta/2$, we set $p_\varepsilon = \lceil \log(1/\varepsilon) \rceil$. By (a) it is immediate that $p_\varepsilon \geqslant 2$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \delta/2$. Moreover, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $\overline{pm}_k = \prod_{q=0}^k m_q$ and $\overline{spm}_k = \sum_{p=0}^k \prod_{q=p}^k m_q$.

Lemma 14. *For every nonnegative integer k and every real ε with $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \delta/2$, we have that $T_\varepsilon\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) \leqslant A_2(5p_\varepsilon \overline{pm}_k)$.*

Proof. Fix k and ε as above. For the quantity ε' participating in the definition of the map T_ε (see (3)), we have

$$\varepsilon' = \varepsilon^{\overline{pm}_{k-1}} 2^{-2\overline{spm}_{k-1}} \overset{(b)}{\geqslant} \varepsilon^{\overline{pm}_{k-1}} 2^{-2\overline{pm}_k}. \tag{8}$$

Moreover

$$\Big(\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}\Big)^{m_k} - \Big(\frac{\varepsilon'}{4}\Big)^{m_k} = \Big(\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}\Big)^{m_k}(1 - 2^{-m_k}) \overset{(b),(8)}{\geqslant} \varepsilon^{\overline{pm}_k} 2^{-2\overline{spm}_k + m_k - 1}. \tag{9}$$

Thus

$$\Sigma\Big(\frac{\varepsilon'}{4}, \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}, m_k\Big) \overset{(9)}{\leqslant} \lceil \varepsilon^{-\overline{pm}_k} 2^{2\overline{spm}_k} \rceil \leqslant A_2(p_\varepsilon \overline{pm}_k + 2\overline{spm}_k). \tag{10}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} T_\varepsilon\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) &= \frac{2}{\varepsilon'}\Sigma\Big(\frac{\varepsilon'}{4}, \frac{\varepsilon'}{2}, m_k\Big) \\ &\overset{(8),(10)}{\leqslant} A_2(1 + p_\varepsilon \overline{pm}_{k-1} + 2\overline{pm}_k) A_2(p_\varepsilon \overline{pm}_k + 2\overline{spm}_k) \\ &\overset{(a),(b)}{\leqslant} A_2(5p_\varepsilon \overline{pm}_k). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 15. *For every* $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant \delta/2$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and positive integer* r, *we have* $Q^r_{\theta,\varepsilon}\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) \leqslant A_2((15 + 10r + 10p_{\varepsilon-\theta})\overline{pm}_k)$.

Proposition 16. *For every integer* $k \geqslant 0$, *we have* $f\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) \leqslant A_2^{(1+k)}(5s_\delta \overline{pm}_k)$.

Proof. We will prove it by induction on k. For $k = 0$ it is immediate by the definition of the maps V_δ, f and Lemma 14. Assume that for some $k \geqslant 1$ we have that lemma holds for $k - 1$. We set $\alpha_j = A_2^{(1+j)}(5s_\delta \overline{pm}_j)$ for all $0 \leqslant j < k$ and $\beta = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j$. Then

$$\beta \leqslant \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} A_2^{(j+1)}(5s_\delta \overline{pm}_j) = A_2\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} A_2^{(j)}(5s_\delta \overline{pm}_j)\Big).$$

By the definition of the maps V_δ, f, the inductive assumption, the above inequality and Corollary 15 we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} f\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) &\leqslant Q^{\beta}_{\frac{1}{2^{s_\delta+k}}, \frac{2}{2^{s_\delta+k}}}\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big) \leqslant A_2\big((15 + 10\beta + 10(s_\delta + k))\overline{pm}_k\big) \\ &= A_2\big((25 + 10s_\delta)\overline{pm}_k + 10(k-1)\overline{pm}_k + 10\beta\overline{pm}_k\big). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In order to bound $f\big((m_q)_{q=0}^k\big)$ we will need the following elementary inequalities.

- (1′) $20x - 10x\log x \leqslant 2^x$ for all integers $x \geqslant 8$ and
- (2′) $(25 + 10x)y \leqslant 2^{xy}$ for all integers x, y with $x \geqslant 2$ and $y \geqslant 4$.

Observing that $\overline{pm}_k \geqslant 2^{k+1}$, the above inequalities in particular yield the following.

1. $10(k-1)\overline{pm}_k \leqslant A_2(\overline{pm}_k)$ for all $k > 1$ and
2. $(25 + 10s_\delta)\overline{pm}_k \leqslant A_2(s_\delta \overline{pm}_k)$ for all $k \geqslant 1$.

By the latter inequalities and distinguishing cases for $k = 1$ and $k > 1$ one can easily derive the following.

$$10(k-1)\overline{pm}_k + (25 + 10s_\delta)\overline{pm}_k \leqslant A_2^{(k)}(s_\delta \overline{pm}_k). \tag{12}$$

On the other hand, using the elementary inequality $10x \leqslant 2^{2x}$ for all integers $x \geqslant 4$, the fact that $s_\delta \geqslant 2$ and $5s_\delta\overline{pm}_{k-1} + 1 \leqslant 3s_\delta\overline{pm}_k$, we get that

$$10\beta\overline{pm}_k \leqslant A_2^{(k)}(4s_\delta\overline{pm}_k) \tag{13}$$

The result follows easily by applying (12) and (13) to (11). □

References

[DLT] C. A. Di Prisco, J. Llopis, and S. Todorcevic. *Parametrized partitions of products of finite sets.* Combinatorica, 24(2):209–232, 2004.

[DKK] P. Dodos, V. Kanellopoulos and N. Karagiannis, *A density version of the Halpern-Läuchli theorem,* preprint (2010), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1006.2671.

[DKT] P. Dodos, V. Kanellopoulos and K. Tyros, *Dense subsets of products of finite trees,* preprint(2011), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.2419.

[EG] P. Erdõs and F. Galvin, *Some Ramsey-type theorems,* Discrete Math., 87 (1991), 261–269.

[FK] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson, *A density version of the Hales-Jewett theorem,* Journal dAnal. Math., 57 (1991), 64–119.

[GRS] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild, and J. H. Spencer. *Ramsey theory.* John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, second edition, 1990.

[HJ] A. H. Hales and R. I. Jewett, *Regularity and positional games,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 106 (1963), 222–229.

[HL] J. D. Halpern and H. Läuchli, *A partition theorem,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (1966), 360–367.

[Po] D. H. J. Polymath, *A new proof of the density Hales-Jewett theorem,* preprint (2009), available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.3926.

[Ra] F. P. Ramsey, *On a problem of formal logic,* Proc. London Math. Soc., 30 (1930), 264–286.

[Sz] E. Szemeredi, *On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression,* Acta Arithmetica, 27 (1975), 199–245.

[To] S. Todorcevic, *Introduction to Ramsey Spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 174, Princeton University Press 2010.

[vW] B.L. van der Waerden, *Beweis einer Baudetscen Vermuting,* Nieuw Arch. Wisk., 15 (1927), 212–216.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, M5S 2E4

and

Institute Mathématiques de Jussieu, CNRS UMR 7586, 2 Place Jussieu- Case 7012, 72251 Paris, Cedex 05, France.
E-mail address: `stevo@math.toronto.edu`

and

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, M5S 2E4
E-mail address: `ktyros@math.toronto.edu`